Task 1 Report

Section 1

Appendix 1

EAF for the Long-Term Plan

$\frac{\text{SUFFOLK COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT}}{\text{FORM (EAF)}}$

Instructions: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

| Suffolk Cou | nty Department of Public Works - Vector Control and Wetlands | Management Lon | ig Term Plan |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2, L | ocation of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include | e project location | map on next p |
| Suffolk Cou | nty (County-wide) | - 200 | |
| Stree | t Address: | | |
| N/A | | | |
| Nam | e of property or waterway: | | |
| Suffolk Cour | nty | 7840 | |
| | ds, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be altered by project, e | | |
| 5. Ca 6. Ge Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education an controls such chemical con | wpe of Project: (check one) New X Expansion | nt design reports, in Plan (see attache surveillance, includes, inschied manner frozicides, insect grow Mosquito Control J | ed). The Long ludes public om physical wth regulators |
| 5. Ca 6. Ge Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | apital Program: (specify) Item #N/A Date Adoptedeneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevants) DPW — Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hiera as water management, through biological controls, bacterial lary | Amount\$ | ed). The Longudes public om physical wth regulators Association |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | apital Program: (specify) Item #N/A Date Adoptedeneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW — Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvitrols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Naturonmental Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document object Status: (check if begun) | nt design reports, in Plan (see attache surveillance, includes, inschied manner frozicides, insect grow Mosquito Control J | ed). The Long ludes public om physical wth regulators |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | apital Program: (specify) Item #N/A Date Adoptedeneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW — Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvitols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Navironmental Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document | Amount\$ | ed). The Lon judes public om physical wth regulators Association |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | eneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW – Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvatrols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Nyironmental Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document oject Status: (check if begun) PROPOSAL. STUDY: NY State Programmatic FEIS (1985); NYC FEIS (July 23, 2001); Westchester DEIS (November 2001). | Amount\$ | ed). The Lon judes public om physical wth regulators Association |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | eneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW – Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvitrols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Nationannella Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document oject Status: (check if begun) PROPOSAL STUDY: NY State Programmatic FEIS (1985); NYC FEIS (July 23, 2001); Westchester DEIS (November 2001). These studies are at attached as background information. | Amount\$ | ed). The Lon judes public om physical wth regulators Association |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | eneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW – Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvitrols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Natronmental Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document object Status: (check if begun) PROPOSAL STUDY: NY State Programmatic FEIS (1985); NYC FEIS (July 23, 2001); Westchester DEIS (November 2001). These studies are at attached as background information. PRELIMINARY PLANNING | Amount\$ | ed). The Lon judes public om physical wth regulators Association |
| 5. Ca 6. Go Suffolk Cour Term Plan de education and controls such chemical con Pesticide Env | eneral Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevantly DPW – Vector Control and Wetlands Management Long Terrescribes an integrated mosquito control program that is guided by demphasizes preventative measures. Control proceeds in a hieral as water management, through biological controls, bacterial larvitrols. The Plan follows the principles outlined in the American Nyironmental Stewardship (PESP) Partnership Strategy Document oject Status: (check if begun) PROPOSAL STUDY: NY State Programmatic FEIS (1985); NYC FEIS (July 23, 2001); Westchester DEIS (November 2001). These studies are at attached as background information. PRELIMINARY PLANNING: | Amount\$ | ed). The Lon ludes public om physical wth regulators Association |

INITIATING DEPT. (If different)

PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

| Name: | Suffolk County |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Street/P.O.: | 335 Yaphank Ave |
| City, State: | Yaphank, NY |
| Zip: | 11980 |
| Contact Person: | Dominick Ninivaggi |
| Business Phone | 631-852-4270 |

B. Project Description

1. Scale of Project: County-wide Program

| a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site: | N/A |
|---|--------------------------------|
| b. Acreage to be acquired: | N/A |
| c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately: | N/A |
| d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed: | N/A |
| e. Acreage to remain undeveloped: | N/A |
| f. Building gross floor area now: Building gross floor area proposed: | N/A sq. ft. acres sq.ft. acres |
| g. Height of tallest structure on site now: Height of tallest structure proposed on site: | N/A |
| h. Proposed Building use (if any): | N/A |
| Off-street parking spaces now: Off-street parking spaces proposed: | N/A number acres number acres |
| j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational: | N/A |
| k. Roads on site now: | N/A Length acres |
| l. New road construction or reconstruction | N/A length acres |
| m. Will project result in an increase in energy use? If yes, indicate type(s): N/A | No |
| n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels and | Yes, pesticide storage in a |

| chemicals? | NYSDEC inspected facility. |
|---|---|
| If yes, describe substances and amounts to be stored: | Materials include liquid, briquet or granular bacterial larvicides containing Bti or <i>Bacillus sphaericus</i> , liquid, briquet or granular methoprene-based larvicides and adulticides containing sumithrin, |
| | resmethrin, permethrin, deltamethrin and/or malathion. Products and formulations used will be DEC- registered and vary |
| | based on purchasing considerations, permit and other legal requirements, weather conditions, environmental |
| | situations encountered and other technical issues. The product mix to be used is further described in the Plan. |
| | Amount stored varies through season, depending on need. |

2. Project Schedule:

| a. Is project single or multi-phase? | Year long activity (12 months) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| b. If multi-phase, how many phases? | N/A |
| c. Total construction time (months) | N/A |

3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated during Project Construction and Operation:

| | Components | Quantity | Mode of Disposal |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------|
| a. Sanitary Sewage | N/A | | |
| b. Liquid industrial waste | N/A | | |
| c. Toxic chemicals | N/A | | |
| d. Pesticides or herbicides | See item 1,n and Plan. | | |
| e. Solid wastes | N/A | | |
| f. Clearing or demolition debris | N/A | | |
| g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation | N/A | | |

| h. Atmospheric emissions | N/A | |
|----------------------------|-----|--|
| i. Surface water runoff | N/A | |
| j. Noise exceeding ambient | N/A | |
| k. Odors exceeding 1hr/day | N/A | |
| 1. Other (specify) | N/A | |

4. Does Project Involve Any:

| Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts. | N/A |
|--|-----|
| Dredging; List max. depth, length & width. | N/A |
| Spoil Area; List amount. | N/A |
| Bulkheading; List length. | N/A |
| Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time. | N/A |

5. Indicate Sources of Utilities:

| Water | N/A |
|------------------------|-----|
| Electricity | N/A |
| Gas | N/A |
| Other (please specify) | N/A |

| 1 | 20 1 | Water | T T |
|---|------|-------|--------|
| 0 | OTAL | water | LISAGE |

C. Project Area Description/Existing Conditions:

1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics of Project Area:

| Meadow, field, scrub growth | Varies depending on degree of infestation | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Wooded | Varies depending on degree of infestation | |
| Agricultural | Varies depending on degree of infestation | |
| Freshwater wetland | Varies depending on degree of | |

| | infestation. |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Tidal wetlands | Varies depending on degree of infestation. |
| Surface waters | Varies depending on degree of infestation. |
| Cleared, graded or filled land | Varies depending on degree of infestation |
| Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.) | Varies depending on degree of infestation |
| Buildings (List number and sq. ft.) | Varies depending on degree of infestation |
| Other (please specify) | Varies depending on degree of infestation |
| TOTAL | 584000 acres, which represents the entire County, because some program components, such as surveillance and education take place Countywide. Control activities such as water management, larval control and adulticiding take place on much more limited areas, based on the results of surveillance. Estimates of acres to be treated are included in the Plan. Pesticides are applied only to sites authorized under the label and under additional regulatory strictures such as DEC permits. Residents may exclude their household from most adulticide applications under the County no-spray list law (described in the Plan). |

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (Please list name of stream and/or name of river to which it is tributary, including intermittent streams)

Various – All streams in Suffolk could be adjacent to potential treatment sites, as identified on maps filed with DEC. Streams themselves are usually not treated, since they rarely breed mosquitoes.

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres)

Various - All lakes, ponds and wetlands in Suffolk are potential treatment sites, as identified

| on maps filed with DEC. | |
|--|--|
| 4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> on the project site? <u>X</u> | /esno |
| b. How far is project area from freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands | ds or surface waters? |
| Larval control and water management is conducted in or adjacent to waters. Adult control is not performed in or over wetlands or surface public health threat is declared. | |
| 5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. Flood plain? yesX | no |
| 6. Depth to the water table: Various X (for larval control) at surface ft 16 ft 7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the Soil Sur (Include soils map of site.) | |
| N/A | |
| Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes: 0-10 %. Any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e. cliffs, eskers, other geological formations): | |
| N/A | |
| 11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site: | |
| Freshwater and tidal wetland vegetation at some breeding sites. | |
| 12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site: | |
| Wildlife associated with surface waters and wetlands at breeding sit | tes. |
| 13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that endangered? X yes no; if yes, give source and identify each spe | |
| Suffolk County contains numerous endangered plant and animal speadulticide applications are unlikely to have direct effects on endang management could affect endangered plants, however, coordination that such habitats are not disturbed. The Division must rely on DEC habitats, since these are generally not released to other agencies. | ecies. Larvicide and ered plants. Water with DEC should ensure |

the subject of protective conditions on all relevant DEC permits, as further described in part 3 of the EAF. DEC does not generally allow larvicide treatment within the habitat of threatened or endangered amphibians. The Division obtains maps of endangered amphibian habitats from DEC in order to inform field crews of their locations and ensure protection of these species.

| Prior notification of DEC is required for applications within species. For these reasons, threatened or endangered specie (See EAF Part 3) | the habitats of other endangered s should not be adversely affected. |
|--|---|
| 14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building paleontological importance? yes X no. Explain. N/A | g or site of historic, pre-historic or |
| 15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location | on (i.e. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.) |
| Varied uses including recreation and residential. | |
| 16. Is the project site presently used by the community or recreation area? X yes | are not treated with pesticides at times bject to public notice requirements. use of recreational areas. Mosquito d open space areas by reducing the |
| 18. Zoning: | 370 |
| a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site? | N/A |
| b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use? | N/A |
| c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use. | N/A |
| 19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification v (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of developm land use map) All uses. | vithin a 1/4-mile radius of the project ment (e.g. 2 story)? (Include existing |
| 20. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Cri pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL and 6 NYCRR 617?X_ | |
| D. Impact Summary and Mitigation | |
| How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground cove_0_acres | ers) will be removed from site? |
| 2. Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation Yes no Explain. | on be removed by this project? |
| N/A | |
| **** | |

| V/A | |
|--|--|
| Are there any plans for revegetation yes X no. Explain and attach pl | n to replace that removed during construction? ans. |
| | |
| Will project physically alter any su | rface water bodies? X yes no. Explain. |
| ditches, culverts or other water contribis maintenance should not result in some of these structures could result | DEC as a physical alteration under SEQRA. Existing ol structures will be maintained or repaired as needed, but substantial changes to water bodies. Failure to maintain in impaired tidal flow and a resultant loss of wetland sopen Marsh Water Management, could alter degraded timprovements. |
| Will project require relocation of a | ny projects, facilities or homes?yes_X_no. Explain. |
| . Will project require reference or a | |
| | |
| Number of jobs generated: | |
| Number of jobs generated: During construction? | N/A |
| During construction? | N/A N/A |
| After project is completed? 8. Number of jobs eliminated by this E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternative The no action alternative or eliminat high levels of mosquito infestation is the ability of residents to be outdoor disease such as West Nile Virus and | N/A project |
| During construction? After project is completed? 3. Number of jobs eliminated by this E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternative. The no action alternative or eliminate high levels of mosquito infestation in the ability of residents to be outdoor disease such as West Nile Virus and | N/A project |
| During construction? After project is completed? 8. Number of jobs eliminated by this E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternative. The no action alternative or eliminat high levels of mosquito infestation is the ability of residents to be outdoor disease such as West Nile Virus and products and techniques, such as gar discussed in the Plan. | N/A project |
| During construction? After project is completed? B. Number of jobs eliminated by this E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternative The no action alternative or eliminathigh levels of mosquito infestation in the ability of residents to be outdoor disease such as West Nile Virus and products and techniques, such as gar | ves to the proposal considered tion of program components would result in unacceptably n the County. Not only would there be severe impact to s, there would be an increased risk of mosquito-borne I Eastern Equine Encephalitis. The use of other alternative rlic sprays and mosquito traps is also considered and is |

| Ъ. | State agency | (specify) | ; amount | |
|----|--------------|-----------|----------|--|

c. Local agency (specify) Suffolk County DPW; amount \$2,706,879

2. Does project require permit or approval from:

| | YES | NO | TYPE |
|---|-----|----|---|
| a. Army Corps of Engineers | X | | Section 10 and/or 404 permits for water management. |
| b. U.S. Environmental Protection | | X | |
| c. Other Federal agency (specify) | | X | |
| d. N.Y.S. Environmental Conservation Department | X | | Article 15 and 24 permits for larvicides, Article 24 and Article 25 permits for water management. |
| e. Other State agency (specify) | | X | |
| f. County Health Department | X | | Pesticides used are approved by Health Services. |
| g. County Planning Department | | X | |
| h. County Public Works Department | | X | |
| i. Town or Village Board | | X | |
| j. Town or Village Planning Board | | X | |
| k. Town or Village Zoning Board | | X | |
| l. Town or Village Building Department | | X | |
| m. Town or Village Highway Department | | X | |
| n. Town or Village Environmental Agency | | X | |
| o. Local Fire Marshal | | X | |
| p. Other local agency County Legislature | X | | Approval |

3. Conformance to existing comprehensive or project master plans.

yes no Description
a. State X NYS Department of Health WNV Response Plan, PEIS.

| I cer | tify that the information herein is a | ccurate |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SIGNATURE* | | |
| TITLE Superintendent | | |
| PROJECT DIRECTOR Don | ninick Ninivaggi Date | June 7, 2002 |
| I certify that | t the information herein is accurate | |
| SIGNATURE* | | ă. |
| TITLE Superintendent | | - |
| PREPARER <u>Dominick V. Nini</u> | vaggı | Date <u>June 7, 2002</u> |
| DDED DED DOLL IN NO. | | Data June 7, 2002 |
| e. Village | _N/A | |
| d. Town | _N/A | |
| c. County X | Peconic Estuary CCMP, Suffo | lk County Code, Chapter 380 |
| b. Bi County | _N/A | |

*Signature of both preparer and project director required

Part 2 - RESPONSIBILITY OF LEAD AGENCY

Project Impacts and Their Magnitude

General Information (Read Carefully)

- X In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my decisions and determinations been reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- X Identifying that an effect will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. By identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- X The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact rating.
- X Each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- X The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.

Instructions (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 19 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If threshold impact equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- e. If a potentially large impact or effect can be mitigated by a change in the project to a less that large magnitude, check the yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible.

IMPACT ON LAND

1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site? X Yes_No

| IMPACT ON LAND Examples that would apply to Column 1 | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10% . | | | |
| Construction of land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet. | | | |
| Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles. | | | |
| Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface. | | | |
| Construction that will continue for more than w year or involve more than one phase or stage. | | | |
| Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year. | | | |
| | 1 Small to | 2 Potential | 3 Can Impact Be |

| IMPACT ON LAND | Moderate Impact | Large Impact | Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|---|
| Construction of any new sanitary landfill. | | | |
| Construction in a designated floodway. | | | |
| Other Impacts (Please describe) DEC refers to pesticide application as a physical alteration. | X | | Yes – All applications will be performed under DEC permits and regulations. |

2. Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.)

| yes _x no. | | |
|---------------------------|------|--|
| List Specific land forms: | | |

| IMPACT ON WATER | 3. Will proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (under Articles 15,24,25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) | X | yes | no.

| IMPACT ON WATER (Examples that would apply to column 2) | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Developable area of site contains a protected water body. | | | |
| Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream. | | | |
| Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body. | | | |
| Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland: The Long Term Plan calls for the use of Open Marsh Water Management and other wetlands management techniques for the biological and physical control of mosquitoes. | | X | Yes – Projects are to be designed and monitored to ensure compatibility with wetland values. |
| Please List Other Impacts: Application of registered pesticides (larvicides) to water under Article 15 permit. Aquatic sites could be subject to drift or runoff from adulticides, but amounts reaching the water are not expected to cause significant impacts. | X | | Yes – All applications will be performed under DEC permits and regulations. |

| 4. Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of v | vater? X yes no | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease. | | |
| Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area. | | |

| Please List Other Impacts: Application of registered pesticides (larvicides) to water under Article 15 permit. Aquatic sites could be subject to drift or runoff from adulticides, but amounts reaching the water are not expected to cause significant impacts | X | Yes – All applications will be performed under DEC permits and regulations. |
|---|----|---|
| i. Will proposed action affect surface or groundwater quality? $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ yes | no | |
| Proposed Action will require a discharge permit. | | |
| Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. | | |
| Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. | | |
| Other: Pesticide application. | X | Yes – All applications will be performed under DEC permits and regulations. None of the materials to be used is known to effect |

| IMPACT ON WATER (cont.) (Examples that would apply to column 2) | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Construction or operation causing any contamination of a public water supply system. | | | |
| Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater. | | | |
| Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. | | | |
| Proposed Action requiring a facility that would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. | | | |
| Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. | | | 50 |
| Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum products greater than 1,100 gallons. | | | |

groundwater quality.

| Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services. | |
|---|--|
| Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. | |
| Please list other impacts: | |

| Proposed Action would impede flood water flows. | | |
|--|---|--|
| Proposed Action is likely to cause substantial erosion. | | |
| Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drain patterns. | | |
| Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. | | |
| Please list other impacts: Maintaining existing ditches and culverts for maintaining tidal flow, fish access to breeding sites and drainage. Since these are existing systems, there should be little, if any, alteration in flow, patterns and surface water runoff. Wetland restoration, such as OMWM, will alter flow patterns, but this should represent an improvement. | х | Yes – All work will be performed under DEC permits and regulations and in coordination with other relevant agencies such as USFWS. |

IMPACT ON AIR

| IMPACT ON AIR (Examples that would apply to column 2) | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in given hour. | | | |
| Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | | | |

| IMPACT ON AIR (cont.) | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Proposed Action emission rate of all contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | | | |
| Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. | | | |

| Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development in existing industrial areas. | | |
|---|----|---|
| Please List Other Impacts: During ULV spraying/fogging, drift of material will occur before settling out and degrading. | x. | Yes – Public notification will be performed and the County maintains a no-spray list that allows households to prevent most adulticiding within 150 feet of their property. The |
| | | Division chooses rapid breakdown materials to further reduce possible impacts. |

IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

| IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS (Examples that would apply to Column 2) | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site. | | | |
| Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat. | | | |
| Application of pesticide or herbicide over more than twice a year other than for agricultural purposes. (See part 3) | X | | Yes (see Part 3). |
| Please list other impacts: | | | |

| . Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or endanger Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species. | X | Pesticide applications could result in limited impacts on non-target organisms, but no significant, long-term effects are likely, according to the EPA fact sheets on these materials and the NYC and Westchester EIS's. |
|---|---|--|
| Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation. | | |